arXiv:1511.05332v2 [math.DG] 3 Feb 2016

Compact fibrations with hyperkähler fibers

Rodion N. Déev*

February 4, 2016

Abstract

Essential dimension of a family of complex manifolds is the dimension of the image of its base in the Kuranishi space of the fiber. We prove that any family of hyperkähler manifolds over a compact simply connected base has essential dimension not greater than 1. A similar result about families of complex tori is also obtained.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Preliminaries **4**
2.1 Bogomolov–Beauville–Fujiki form 5
2.2 Global Torelli theorem 5

3 Geometry of the period space **6**
3.1 Positive Grassmannians 6
3.2 Period space 7
3.3 Fibrations by complex tori 8
3.4 Borcherds—Katzarkov—Pantev—Shepherd-Barron and Oguiso theorems 9

4 Appendix: Lorentzian Kähler metric on the period space **9**

*The article was prepared within the framework of the Academic Fund Program at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE) in 2015 (grant 15-05-0033) and supported within the framework of a subsidy granted to the HSE by the Government of the Russian Federation for the implementation of the Global Competitiveness Program.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the skew-field of quaternions.

Definition 1.1. A manifold M with a left $\mathbb{H}$-action in the tangent bundle is called *almost hypercomplex*. Any quaternion q with $q^2 = -1$ defines an almost complex structure on M, and if all these complex structures are integrable, M is called *hypercomplex*.

One does not need to check the integrability condition for all points q in the 2-sphere $q^2 = -1$, but only for three quaternions spanning the space of imaginary ones. Any hypercomplex structure admits a unique torsion-free connection preserving the hypercomplex structure, called *Obata connection* [**Ka**, **Ob**].

Definition 1.2. A Riemannian hypercomplex manifold (M, g) is called *hyperkähler*, if its Obata and Levi-Civita connections are equal.

One can think of hyperkähler manifolds as of Riemannian manifolds with three integrable almost complex structures I, J, K such that $IJ = -JI = K$ which are Kähler with respect to the Riemannian metric, or as of Riemannian manifolds with holonomy in the group $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$. When $n = 1$, $\mathrm{Sp}(1) = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and all the hyperkähler manifolds are either K3 surfaces or tori.

One can wonder what are the families of hyperkähler manifolds, i. e. submersions of complex manifolds with hyperkähler fibers. This question is ultimately closely related to the variations of Hodge structures, because any family of complex manifolds gives rise to a variation of Hodge structures. Some complex manifolds are completely determined by their Hodge structures; statements of such type are known as "Torelli theorems". Although the global Torelli theorem for hyperkähler manifolds was proved in 2009 [**V1**], Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces has much longer history: its local version was established in 1964 by G. N. Tjurina [**T**], whereas its global version was obtained in 1977 by Vik. S. Kulikov [**Ku**] (earlier advances in this topic include a 1971 paper by I. I. Pyatetski-Shapiro and I. R. Shafarevich [**PShSh**]; a 1978 paper [**Bo1**] of Bogomolov is also worthy to mention). Because of that study of the families of complex manifolds was reduced to study of variations of Hodge structures since olden times. The first advance in this direction is due to Deligne and Griffiths.

Fact 1.1 (P. R. Deligne, Ph. A. Griffiths, 1970)**.** *Any variation of Hodge structures over a compact simply connected base is trivial.*

Of course, Griffiths has proved much stronger statement, called "Theorem of the Fixed Part" [**G**, Ch. II, Application 7], but we shall not need it in its full generality. It follows from this fact that any polarized family of hyperkähler manifolds over a compact simply connected base is trivial. The following definition asserts that one cannot drop out the polarization condition in the Deligne's and Griffiths' statement.

Definition 1.3. If X is a hypercomplex (for example, hyperkähler) manifold, then any imaginary unit quaternion q defines a complex structure on X. This

gives rise to a nontrivial almost complex structure on the space $X \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, where we identify $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with the unit sphere in the space of imaginary quaternions: namely, tangent space at point (x, q) splits as $T_x X \oplus T_q \mathbb{C}P^1$, and one can put the complex structure q on $T_x X$ and the standard one on $T_q \mathbb{C}P^1$.

Theorem (M. Obata, 1953 [**Ob**], S. Salamon, 1982 [**S**], D. Kaledin, 1996 [**Ka**]). *This almost complex structure is integrable.*

The product $X \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ with this complex structure is denoted by $\mathrm{Tw}(X)$ and called the *twistor space*.

The projection $\mathrm{Tw}(X) \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ is holomorphic and defines a nontrivial family of hyperkähler manifolds. It is not hard to prove that the twistor space cannot bear any Kähler form [**Hi**, **V3**, Proposition 2.13]. The concept of twistors has appeared in works of R. Penrose in the late 1960ies [**P**], and has been developed by M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin and I. M. Singer [**AHS**] (in context of 4-dimensional Riemannian geometry) and S. Salamon [**S**] (in context of quaternionic Kähler geometry).

Seeking for a way to generalize a well-known fact about isotriviality of the complete families of elliptic curves, R. E. Borcherds, L. Katzarkov, T. Pantev and N. I. Shepherd-Barron proved in 1997 the following theorems, which allow to drop out the condition of simply connectedness in Griffiths' statement at least for fibrations with fibers K3 surfaces (but need some extra restrictions on the fibration).

Fact 1.2 (R. E. Borcherds, L. Katzarkov, T. Pantev and N. I. Shepherd-Barron, 1997, [**BKPShB**]). *Any complete family of minimal Kähler surfaces of Kodaira dimension* 0 *and constant Picard number is isotrivial.*

Fact 1.3 (R. E. Borcherds, L. Katzarkov, T. Pantev and N. I. Shepherd-Barron, 1997, [**BKPShB**]). *Any family of smooth polarized K3 surfaces with polarization of degree 2 over a projective variety is isotrivial.*

They dealt separately with the cases of hyperelliptic, Enriques and K3 fiber, and the latter was the essential one. Their technique heavily uses the theory of automorphic forms, namely the properties of the Borcherds' automorphic form Φ_{12} on the Cartan symmetric space for the group $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{II}_{2,26})$, and one cannot prove similar results for fibrations with hyperkähler fibers of dimension greater than 28 in the same way. It seems that the only generalization of their result to arbitrary hyperkähler manifolds is the following theorem of K. Oguiso.

Fact 1.4 (K. Oguiso, 2000, [**Og**]). *Let $\mathfrak{X} \to \Delta$ be a nontrivial family of hyperkähler manifolds over a disc. Then the set of points where the Picard number of the fiber jumps is a dense countable subset of a disc.*

In this paper we give alternative proofs of the Facts 1.2 and 1.4 via newly developed techniques (whereas the Fact 1.3 cannot be proved with them).

The global Torelli theorem is known for curves, tori, hyperkähler manifolds and some exotic cases such as cubic threefolds [**G**, Ch. XII and XIII]. Curves and

threefolds are automatically polarized, so we are not interested in them. Recall that the *essential dimension* of a smooth complex fibration is the dimension of the base in the Kuranishi space of the fiber. The main theorem we are going to prove is the following.

Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{X} \to B$ be a smooth fibration of compact complex manifolds with smooth hyperkähler fibers and simply connected base. Then its essential dimension is no greater than one.*

For the fibrations by complex tori a similar result holds.

Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{X} \to B$ be a smooth fibration of compact complex manifolds with fibers n-dimensional complex tori and simply connected base. Then its essential dimension is no greater than $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.*

Here is a brief outline of the paper. In Section 2 we give some well-known facts about hyperkähler manifolds, such as the global Torelli theorem. In Section 3 we describe some geometry of the moduli space of hyperkähler manifolds, which is also widely known. Following those facts, we prove the main theorems and derive the Borcherds–Katzarkov–Pantev–Shepherd-Barron and Oguiso theorems. Subsequently, in Section 4 we give some other relevant observations on the fibrations with hyperkähler fibers.

2 Preliminaries

It follows from a straightforward calculation that a form

$$\Omega_I(u,v) = g(Ju,v) + \sqrt{-1}g(Ku,v)$$

on a compact hyperkähler manifold (M, g, I, J, K) is holomorphic with respect to the complex structure I.

Hyperkähler manifolds with $h^{2,0}(M,I) = 1$ are known as *simple*. For simple hyperkähler manifolds the cohomology class $[\Omega_I]$ spans the line $H^{2,0}(M,I) \subset H^2(M,\mathbb{C})$. The famous Bogomolov decomposition theorem [**Bo2**] states that any hyperkähler manifold admits a finite cover which is a product of torus and simple hyperkähler manifolds, so we are interested in the simple ones. From now onwards, until otherwise stated, while speaking of a hyperkähler manifold (M, g, I, J, K) as of complex manifold, we would consider the complex structure I. Consider a complex family $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{I})$ of simple hyperkähler manifolds over a simply connected base B (so that $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{C}$ is a trivial bundle), and let X_b denote the fiber over a point $b \in B$. Then we can define the *period map* $B \xrightarrow{per} \mathbb{P}(H^2(X,\mathbb{C}))$ which sends the point b to the line spanned by the class $[\Omega_{\mathcal{I}|_{X_b}}]$.

If the base B is not simply connected, the period map is defined as a map from the universal cover $\widetilde{B}$. The fundamental group of the base acts on the universal cover and on the period space (as the monodromy group of the local system $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{C}$), and the period map is equivariant with respect to these two

actions. One can try to obtain a period map from the base B into the quotient of the period space by this action, but this quotient can have very poor topology. It is known to be an orbifold in the case of the polarized fibration with fiber K3 surface. However, in other cases the topology on the factor can be very non-Hausdorff, e. g. every two non-empty subsets intersect.

2.1 Bogomolov–Beauville–Fujiki form

For a K3 surface X the intersection form is a bilinear form on the space $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$; by the Hodge index theorem, its signature is $(3, 19)$. We shall need a similar form on the second cohomology space of a hyperkähler manifold, which would have the signature $(3, b_2 - 3)$.

Fact 2.1 (F. A. Bogomolov, 1978 [**Bo1**], A. Beauville, 1983 [**Be**], A. Fujiki, 1985, 1987 [**F**])**.** *Let X be a hyperkähler manifold of real dimension $4n$. There exists a unique primitive quadratic form $q\colon H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ and a constant c such that for any $\alpha \in H^2(X)$ one has*

$$\int_X \lambda^{2n} = cq(\alpha)^n$$

and for non-zero $\sigma \in H^{2,0}(X)$ one has $q(\sigma + \overline{\sigma}) > 0$.

For more details, see [**OG**].

This form is uniquely determined by this condition. One can write down an explicit formula for q (here we use same letter q for the polarization of the Bogomolov–Beauville–Fujiki quadratic form):

$$cq(\alpha, \beta) = 2\int_X \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \Omega_I^{n-1} \wedge \overline{\Omega_I}^{n-1} - \\ - \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{(\int_X \alpha \wedge \Omega_I^{n-1} \wedge \overline{\Omega_I}^n)(\int_X \beta \wedge \Omega_I^n \wedge \overline{\Omega_I}^{n-1})}{\int_X \Omega_I^n \wedge \overline{\Omega_I}^n},$$

where the positive constant on the left-hand side is needed for the form q to be integer.

It is positive definite on the real part of the space spanned by Ω_I, $\overline{\Omega_I}$ and the Kähler form ω, and negative definite on the primitive forms (i. e. it has signature $(3, b_2 - 3)$). The image of the period map lies in the set of lines spanned by the classes α such that $q(\alpha) = 0$ and $q(\alpha + \overline{\alpha}) > 0$ (or, equivalently, $q(\alpha, \overline{\alpha}) > 0$).

2.2 Global Torelli theorem

The main reference for this section is [**V1**].

Definition 2.1. Let (X, g) be a Riemannian manifold, and $\mathfrak{I}$ be the set of complex structures on X which can be extended to hyperkähler structures. The group $\mathrm{Diff}_0(X)$ of oriented diffeomorphisms of X act on $\mathfrak{I}$. The *Teichmüller space* $\mathrm{Teich}(X)$ of X is the factor $\mathfrak{I}/\mathrm{Diff}_0(X)$.

The space $\mathrm{Teich}(X)$ admits a period map $(X, I) \mapsto H^{2,0}(X, I) \in \mathbb{P}(H^2(X, \mathbb{C}))$ into $\mathbb{P}(H^2(X, \mathbb{C}))$ in the same way as the base of any fibration with hyperkähler fibers (provided that the base is simply connected). The image of the period map lies in the *period space* $\mathrm{Per}(X) = \{[\alpha] \in \mathbb{P}(H^2(X, \mathbb{C})) \mid q(\alpha) = 0, q(\alpha, \overline{\alpha}) > 0\}$. Actually, Teich is not Hausdorff, but its non-Hausdorff points correspond to the bimeromorphically equivalent hyperkähler manifolds. Moreover, there exists a Hausdorff space Teich_b with map $\mathrm{Teich} \to \mathrm{Teich}_b$ such that any map from Teich to a Hausdorff space factorizes through this map (so the period map $\mathrm{Teich}_b(X) \to \mathrm{Per}(X)$ is well-defined).

Fact 2.2 (M. Verbitsky, 2009 [**V1**]). *The map per*: $\mathrm{Teich}_b \to \mathrm{Per}$ *is a diffeomorphism on each connected component of* Teich_b.

3 Geometry of the period space

3.1 Positive Grassmannians

Definition 3.1. Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ with a non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$. We shall consider a subset in the oriented Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_2(V)$ consisting of oriented 2-planes with positive definite restriction of the bilinear form (we shall call such planes *positive*). This subset is called *the positive Grassmannian* and denoted $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V)$.

Lemma (see e. g. C. LeBrun, 1993 [**LB**]). *The set* $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V)$ *is in one-to-one correspondence with the projectivization of the set of vectors* $v \in V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $\langle v \mid v \rangle = 0$ *and* $\langle v \mid \overline{v} \rangle > 0$ *(we shall call them* positive null-vectors*).*

Proof. If $W \subseteq V$ is a point in $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V)$, then the cone of null-vectors in $W \otimes \mathbb{C}$ consists of two lines, which are interchanged by the complex conjugation. If w is a vector spanning one of the lines, then one needs to be $\langle w \mid \overline{w} \rangle > 0$ for the inner product on W to be positive definite, and vectors w and $\overline{w}$ correspond to two copies of the plane W coming with different orientations: if the basis $\{w + \overline{w}, i(w - \overline{w})\}$ is positively oriented, then the null-vector corresponding to the plane W is w, and $\overline{w}$ otherwise.

Conversely, if $v \in V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is such that $\langle v \mid v \rangle = 0$ and $\langle v \mid \overline{v} \rangle > 0$, then vectors $v + \overline{v}$ and $i(v - \overline{v})$ are both real and linearly independent, so they span a plane in V with an orientation. It is easy to see that the metric on this plane is positive definite. □

Let $v \in V$ be a non-zero vector. Then the subset of positive 2-planes orthogonal to v is exactly $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(v^\perp) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V)$. Indeed, the positive 2-plane W is orthogonal to v if and only if both w and $\overline{w}$ are.

The tangent space to $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V)$ at the point W is the same as to the Grassmannian, $\mathrm{Hom}(W, V/W)$. However, W is an oriented plane with positive definite metric, so it can be regarded as a one-dimensional complex vector space, turning the tangent space into a complex one. It gives an almost complex structure on $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V)$, which is the same as the restriction of the complex structure from the complex quadric $\{\langle v \mid v \rangle = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(V \otimes \mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 3.1. $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{2,n})$ *is a Stein manifold.*

Proof. $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{2,n}) = \mathrm{SO}(2,n)/\mathrm{SO}(2)\times\mathrm{SO}(n)$, so it is a symmetric domain of non-compact type. Due to a theorem of É. Cartan [**C**] it can be holomorphically embedded into a complex space as a bounded domain.

See [**He**, Theorem 7.1] for a complete proof. □

Proposition 3.2. $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{2,1})$ *is a topological disc.*

Proof. Let u, v, w be the orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ such that $\|v\|^2 = \|w\|^2 = 1$ and $\|u\|^2 = -1$. Any 2-plane W with positive definite restriction of the metric projects along u onto the plane $W_0 = \langle v, w\rangle$ isomorphically (just because W cannot contain the kernel of this projection, the line spanned by u). So $W = \langle v + au, w + bu\rangle$ for some real numbers a and b, and different pairs of numbers define different planes. The restriction of metric on W is positive definite iff for any real numbers x, y (at least one of which is not equal to zero) one has $0 < \|x(v+au) + y(w+bu)\|^2 = x^2 + y^2 - (ax+by)^2$, which is equivalent to the condition $a^2 + b^2 < 1$.

One can also send a positive plane in $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ into its orthogonal, which is a line spanned by a negative vector, and obtain a representation of $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{2,1})$ as the projectivization of the negative cone in $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$, which is precisely the Cayley–Klein model for the Bolyai–Lobachevskian plane. □

Proposition 3.3. $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{n,m})$ *can be retracted onto* $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{n,0})$. *In particular,* $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{2,n})$ *is contractible.*

Proof. Let $l \subset V$ be a line spanned by a negative vector. Then if W is a positive 2-plane, then its projection along l in $l^\perp$ is also a positive 2-plane. This defines a fibration $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V) \to \mathrm{Gr}_{++}(l^\perp)$, and its fiber over a plane $W' \subseteq l^\perp$ is a set of positive 2-planes in the linear hull of W' and l, i. e. $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{2,1})$, which is contractible due to the previous Proposition. □

3.2 Period space

Now we shall study the geometry of the period space of a hyperkähler manifold X itself, which is identified with the space $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(H^2(X,\mathbb{R}))$ because of LeBrun's lemma. From now onwards the letter V stands for a real vector space with a metric q of signature $(3,n)$.

Let $U \subset V$ be a 3-dimensional space with $q|_U$ positive definite. Then any 2-plane in it is positive, and they constitute a rational curve $\mathbb{C}P^1 = \mathrm{Gr}_{++}(U) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V)$. In the case $V = H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ there exist a natural positive 3-subspace in V spanned by the Kähler forms ω_I, ω_J and ω_K, and the corresponding family is the twistorial family. Because of that we shall call such curves *twistorial*, and denote the rational curve consisting of 2-planes in a positive 3-subspace U by $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(U)$. Twistorial curves are parametrized by the manifold $\mathrm{Gr}_{+++}(V)$ of positive 3-subspaces in the space V. Unlike the positive Grassmannian of 2-planes $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V)$, the Grassmannian of positive 3-subspaces $\mathrm{Gr}_{+++}(V)$ do not carry a natural complex structure (for example, in the case of period space of $K3$

surfaces its real dimension equals 57). On the other hand, the rational curves in $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V)$ are parametrized by the Hilbert scheme, which is a scheme over $\mathbb{C}$, so twistorial curves can be deformed to non-twistorial. It is also clear that the normal bundles of the twistorial curves are ample. One can map a curve of any genus into twistorial curve via ramified covering and then deform it into an embedded curve (that is possible because its normal bundle is generated by global sections due to a theorem of J. Kollár [**Ko**]). That gives examples of many families of hyperkähler manifolds over curves.

Let $v \in V$ be a positive vector. Then the space $v^\perp$ has signature $(2, n)$, and $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(v^\perp)$ can be identified with a contractible bounded domain in a complex vector space. On the other hand, it is a divisor in the space $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V)$. We shall call it *a Cauchy divisor* (because of reasons explained in Section 4) and denote as Cau_v. For any 2-plane $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V)$ the set of Cauchy divisors passing through W is the projectivization of the positive cone in $W^\perp$. It is easy to see that twistorial curves intersect the Cauchy divisors at one point.

Proposition 3.4. *The period space contains no compact submanifolds of dimension greater than* 1.

Proof. If $X \subset \mathrm{Per}$ is a compact submanifold, then $\mathrm{Cau}_v \cap X$ is a divisor on X. If it is not empty, it is a compact submanifold of the Stein manifold Cau_v, i. e. a set of points. Therefore the dimension of X needs to be equal to 1.

One can prove this theorem the other way around: the period space Per is a subset of a quadric in a complex projective space, so it carries a positive $(1,1)$-form ω. Due to the positivity one has $\int_X (\omega|_X)^{\dim X} > 0$. However, the period space Per retracts onto the twistorial curve, so $\omega^{\dim X} = d\eta$ for some $(2\dim X - 1)$-form η and the integral needs to vanish unless $\dim X > 1$. □

Now we can prove the main statement.

Proposition 3.5. *Any family of hyperkähler manifolds over a compact simply connected base has essential dimension not greater than 1.*

Proof. The image of the period map $per(B)$ is a compact submanifold in the period space Per, thus a curve or a point. □

3.3 Fibrations by complex tori

In a way analogous to the stated above one can try to make a similar statement for the fibrations by n-dimensional complex tori. However, families of tori over a base of dimension greater that 1 do exist, some of them were described in [**V2**]. The Teichmüller space of the n-dimensional complex torus is the space of linear complex structures on the real vector space V of dimension $2n$, hence isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}^+(2n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ (in particular, its real dimension is $4n^2 - 2n^2 = 2n^2$). It contains plenty of complex submanifolds – namely, for every Eucledian metric g on V one can define the submanifold consisting of complex structures orthogonal with respect to g. These submanifolds are the symmetric spaces $\mathrm{SO}(2n)/\mathrm{U}(n)$, and the complex structure on these symmetric spaces

coincides with the restriction of the complex structure on $\mathrm{GL}^+(2n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$. The real dimension of these submanifolds is equal to $\frac{2n(2n-1)}{2} - n^2 = n^2 - n$.

On the other hand, any symplectic form ψ on the vector space V determines the submanifold Cau_ψ in the space of complex structures on it as follows: the subvariety $\mathrm{Cau}_\psi \subset \mathrm{GL}^+(2n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ is the set of complex structures I for which the form $\psi_I(u,v) = \psi(u,Iv)$ is symmetric and positive definite. A well-known 2-out-of-3 property of the unitary group asserts that a symplectic form and a Eucledian metric on a vector space uniquely determine the complex structure on it, in other words, the submanifold of complex structures orthogonal with respect to fixed metric intersects the submanifold Cau_ψ by 0-cycle, thence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Cau}_\omega = n^2 - \frac{n^2-n}{2} = \frac{n^2+n}{2}$. Obviously, such submanifolds pass through each point in the Teichmüller space. But these submanifolds are biholomorphic to the Siegel upper-half space, in particular, they are Stein [**M**, Ch. 2]. Therefore the Teichmüller space of an n-dimensional complex torus cannot contain compact submanifolds of dimension greater than $\frac{n^2-n}{2}$.

So, we have proved the following

Proposition 3.6. *Any family of n-dimensional complex tori over a compact simply connected base has essential dimension no greater than $\frac{n^2-n}{2}$.*

3.4 Borcherds—Katzarkov—Pantev—Shepherd-Barron and Oguiso theorems

For an integral vector $v \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ the divisor Cau_v consists of complex structures I for which one has $v \in H^{1,1}(X,I)$ (i. e., the corresponding 2-plane in $H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ lies in the orthogonal to v). The subset S_Z in the projectivization $\mathbb{P}T^*\mathrm{Per}$ consisting of hyperplanes tangent to the integral Cauchy divisors is dense in an open subset of $\mathbb{P}T^*\mathrm{Per}$ consisting of tangent hyperplanes to all Cauchy divisors S: hyperplanes tangent to Cauchy divisors are orthogonal to positive vectors, and lines spanned by positive integral vectors are dense in the positive cone.

Now, for any holomorphic disc Δ we can consider a point $p \in \Delta$ together with a Cauchy divisor D passing through p. The tangent hyperplane $t = T_pD \subset T_p\mathrm{Per}$ is a point in S, and we can pick up a point $t' \in S_Z$ arbitrarily close to t. The divisor corresponding to t' would be an integral Cauchy divisor intersecting Δ. By shrinking Δ, we can show that union of all integral Cauchy divisors interset any holomorphic disc in a dense subset. On the other hand, this union is the set where the Picard rank jumps. This proves Fact 1.4 (and thence the Fact 1.2).

4 Appendix: Lorentzian Kähler metric on the period space

In the present section, we shall also deal with the fibrations by hyperkähler manifolds over noncompact or non-simply connected base. All fibrations are

assumed to be such that the corresponding period map is an immersion (so that the universal covers of their bases are subvarieties in the period space Per).

Definition 4.1. Let (X, g, I) be a complex manifold equipped with a metric of signature $(+, +, -, -, \dots)$. If the 2-form $\omega(u, v) = g(Iu, v)$ is closed, then we shall call such manifold a *Lorentzian Kähler manifold*.

Due to the LeBrun's lemma stated in the Section 3, the period space Per is in fact the positive Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{3,b_2-3})$. Its tangent space at the point $W \subset V$ is equal to $\mathrm{Hom}(W, W^\perp)$ and carries a natural (and hence $\mathrm{SO}(3, b_2-3)$-invariant) metric of indefinite signature $(2, 2b_2-6)$. We shall denote in by g_{Per} and put $\omega_{\mathrm{Per}}(u, v) = g_{\mathrm{Per}}(Iu, v)$. This is a non-degenerate 2-form.

Proposition 4.1. $d\omega_{\mathrm{Per}} = 0$.

Proof. Stabilizer of a point W is a subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(W) \times \mathrm{SO}(W^\perp) \subset \mathrm{SO}(3, b_2 - 3)$. The group $\mathrm{SO}(W) = \mathrm{SO}(2)$ contains an operator $-\mathrm{Id}$, so the $\mathrm{SO}(3, b_2 - 3)$-invariant form $d\omega_{\mathrm{Per}}$ would be invariant under the fiberwise operator $-\mathrm{Id}$. However, it is a 3-form and thence vanishes. □

Nevertheless, this form is not exact because of the following reason:

Proposition 4.2. *Let $U \subset V = \mathbb{R}^{3,b_2-3}$ be a 3-subspace with positive definite metric, and $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(U) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{++}(V)$ be the corresponding twistorial curve. Then the restriction $\omega_{\mathrm{Per}}|_{\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(U)}$ is the Fubini–Study form.*

Proof. Actually, one can naturally associate such a form to any positive Grassmannian Gr_{++}, and it would be compatible to its inclusions obtained from ones of vector spaces. The fact that this form on the $\mathbb{C}P^1 = \mathrm{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{3,0})$ is its Fubini–Study form may be regarded as its definition. □

As $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{3,n})$ retracts onto any twistorial curve, its second cohomology is one-dimensional, and it is spanned by the cohomology class $[\omega_{\mathrm{Per}}]$. Moreover, one holds the following

Proposition 4.3. *Any $\mathrm{SO}(3, b_2 - 3)$-invariant 2-form on the period space* Per *is a multiple of the form ω_{Per}.*

Proof. Invariant tensors on homogenuous spaces are determined by its value at one point, which needs to be an invariant tensor in the tangent space under the action of the stabilizer of this point. So, one needs to check that the form $g_{\mathrm{Per}}|_W$ is a unique $\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(1, b_2-3)$-invariant form on the space $\mathrm{Hom}(W, W^\perp)$. This 2-form defines a representation homomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}(W, W^\perp) \to \mathrm{Hom}(W^\perp, W)$. But $\mathrm{Hom}(W, W^\perp) = W \otimes (W^\perp)^*$. The space W carries naturally the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SO}(2)$, and $(W^\perp)^*$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SO}(1, b_2-3)$, so $\mathrm{Hom}(W, W^\perp)$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(1, b_2 - 3)$. Schur's lemma implies that the space of homomorphisms between $\mathrm{Hom}(W, W^\perp)$ and its dual is one-dimensional. This proves the Proposition. □

Because of invariance of the form ω_{Per} on the period space, its pullback $per^*(\omega_{\mathrm{Per}}) \in \Omega^2(\widetilde{B})$ is invariant under the $\pi(B)$-action, so it descends to the base and thus defines an invariant of a family $\mathfrak{X} \to B$ of hyperkähler manifolds in the space of 2-forms on B. We shall denote the 2-form on B obtained via this construction as $\omega_{\mathfrak{X}} \in \Omega^2(B)$.

One can find some similarities between the Lorentzian Kähler geometry of the positive Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{++}(\mathbb{R}^{3,n})$ and geometry of usual Lorentzian manifolds. For example, twistorial curves resemble timelike geodesics, whilst the divisors Cau_v are similar to Cauchy hypersurfaces. Nevertheless, this similarity is not complete: for example, while on a Lorentzian manifold X containing a Cauchy hypersurface M a bunch of timelike geodesics startled orthogonally from M defines a decomposition $X = M \times \mathbb{R}$ [**ChN**, Section 3], twistorial curves orthogonal to a Cauchy divisor Cau_v massively intersect, forming somewhat like a Lefschetz pencil.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to M. Verbitsky for turning my mind to the problem, fruitful discussions and help with preparation of the manuscript, and to D. Kaledin and the anonymous referee of this paper on the Möbius Contest for pointing to serious flaws.

This work was supported in part by the Möbius Contest Foundation for Young Scientists.

References

[**AHS**] M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin, I. M. Singer. *Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A:362, 425-461 (1978)

[**Be**] A. Beauville. *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*, J. Differential geometry 18 (1983), pp. 755—782

[**BKPShB**] R. E. Borcherds, L. Katzarkov, T. Pantev and N. I. Shepherd-Barron. *Families of K3 surfaces*, J. Algebr. Geom. 7. 183—193 (1998) arXiv:alg-geom/9701013

[**Bo1**] F. A. Bogomolov. *Hamiltonian Kähler manifolds*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 19, 1978, pp. 1462—1465.

[**Bo2**] F. A. Bogomolov. *The decomposition of Kähler manifolds with a trivial canonical class*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 93(135) (1974), 573—575, 630.

[**C**] É. Cartan. *Sur les domaines bornés homogènes de l'espace de n variables complexes*. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 11 (1935), pp. 116—162

[**ChN**] V. Chernov, S. Nemirovski. *Legendrian links, causality, and the Low conjecture*, Geom. Funct. Anal., 19:5 (2010), 1320—1333, arXiv:0905.0983

[**F**] A. Fujiki. *On the de Rham Cohomology Group of a Compact Kähler Symplectic Manifold*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. 10, Algebraic Geometry, Sendai 1985, 1987, pp. 105—165.

[**G**] Ph. A. Griffiths. *Topics in Transcendental Algebraic Geometry. (AM-106) (Annals of Mathematics Studies)* (1984), Princeton Univ Pr, ISBN 0–69–108335–5

[**He**] S. Helgason. *Differential geometry, Lie groups and Symmetric spaces* (1968). ISBN 0–12–338460–5

[**Hi**] N. Hitchin, *Kählerian twistor spaces,* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 43 (1981) 133—150.

[**Ka**] D. Kaledin. *Integrability of the twistor space for a hypercomplex manifold*, Selecta Math. (N.S.), 4:2 (1998), 271—278, arXiv:alg-geom/9612016

[**Ko**] J. Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Number 32 in Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgeibeite, 3. Folge (New York: Springer-Verlag), 1996.

[**Ku**] Vik. S. Kulikov. *Surjectivity of the period mapping for K3 surfaces*. Uspehi Mat. Nauk 32 (1977), no. 4(196), 257—258.

[**KV**] D. Kaledin, M. Verbitsky. *Non-Hermitian Yang-Mills connections*, Selecta Math. (N.S.), 4:2 (1998), 279—320, arXiv:alg-geom/9606019

[**LB**] C. LeBrun. *A Kähler structure on the space of world-sheets*, Classical Quantum Gravity, 10, No. 9, (1993), L141—L148, arXiv:alg-geom/9305012

[**M**] J. S. Milne. *Shimura Varieties and Moduli*, `http://www.jmilne.org/math/xnotes/svh.pdf`

[**Ob**] M. Obata. *Affine connections on manifolds with almost complex, quaternionic or Hermitian structure*, Jap. J. Math. 26 (1955): 43—79.

[**Og**] K. Oguiso. *Picard numbers in a family of hyperkähler manifolds — a supplement to the article of R. Borcherds, L. Katzarkov, T. Pantev, N. I. Shepherd-Barron*, arXiv:math/0011258

[**OG**] K. G. O'Grady. *Compact hyperkähler manifolds: an introduction*, "Sapienza" Università di Roma, March 1 2013

[**P**] R. Penrose, *Twistor algebra*, J. Math. Phys. 8: 345 (1967).

[**PShSh**] I. I. Pyatetskii-Shapiro, I. R. Shafarevich, *A Torelli theorem for algebraic surfaces of type K3*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 35:3 (1971), 530—572

[**S**] S. Salamon. *Quaternionic Kähler manifolds*, Inventiones mathematicæ, February 1982, Volume 67, Issue 1, pp. 143—171

[**T**] G. N. Tjurina. *On the deformation of complex structures of algebraic varieties.* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 152 1963 1316—1319.

[**V1**] M. Verbitsky. *A global Torelli theorem for hyperkähler manifolds*, Duke Math. J. Volume 162, Number 15 (2013), 2929—2986, arXiv:0908.4121

[**V2**] M. Verbitsky. *Coherent sheaves on generic compact tori*, CRM Proc. and Lecture Notices vol. 38 (2004), 229—249, arXiv:0310329

[**V3**] M. Verbitsky. *Holography principle for twistor spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly 10 (2014), pp. 325—354 (Special Issue: In Memory of Andrey Todorov), arXiv:1211.5765

Laboratory of Algebraic Geometry,
National Research University Higher School of Economics,
7 Vavilova Str., Moscow, Russia, 117312
e-mail: deevrod@mccme.ru